

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,559	KOENEMANN, FALK H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Punam Patel	2855	

All Participants:
Status of Application: RCE

 (1) Punam Patel (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul Craane (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 9:45 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Upper Panel of Fig. 1 should be labeled as --Prior Art-- and Claim 25 contains a typographical error (it should depend on claim 24 not 26). See Examiner's Amendment enclosed herewith.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)